

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Chief, Dockets Division

FROM: Associate General Counsel, Litigation Division

SUBJECT: Wometco Cable Corp., Georgia Cable Partners and Atlanta Cable Partners, L.P. v. FCC & USA, No. 94-1375; C-TEC Cable Systems, Inc. v. FCC & USA, No. 94-1376; Harron Communications Corp., The Coalition of Small System Operators, Prime Cable and Douglas Communications Corp. II v. FCC & USA, No. 94-1377; and Horizon Cable I, L.P. and Clinton Cable, L.P. v. FCC & USA, No. 94-1378. Filing of four new Petitions for Review in the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit.

DATE: June 2, 1994

Docket No(s). MM 92-266

File No(s).

This is to advise you that on May 13, 1994, the above-named Petitioners, filed Section 402(a) Petitions for Review of the FCC decision: Implementation of Sections of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992: Rate Regulation, FCC 94-38, released March 30, 1994.

Petitioners challenge the Second Order on Reconsideration in the FCC's cable rate regulation rulemaking.

Due to a change in the Communications Act, it will not be necessary to notify the parties of this filing.

The Court has docketed these cases as Nos. 94-1375, 94-1376, 94-1377 and 94-1378 and the attorney assigned to handle the litigation of these cases is Laurence N. Bourne.

Daniel M. Armstrong

cc: General Counsel
Office of Public Affairs
Shepard's Citations

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

WOMETCO CABLE CORP.,
GEORGIA CABLE PARTNERS, and
ATLANTA CABLE PARTNERS, L.P.,

Petitioners,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION, and
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents.

United States Court of Appeals
For the District of Columbia Circuit

FILED MAY 13 1994

RON GARVIN
CLERK

94-1375

No. _____


PETITION FOR REVIEW

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 402(a), 28 U.S.C. §§ 2341-2349, and Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, Wometco Cable Corp., Georgia Cable Partners, and Atlanta Cable Partners, L.P. ("petitioners") hereby petition this Court for review of the Second Reconsideration Order of the Federal Communications Commission ("Commission") issued in the proceeding known as Implementation of Sections of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992 -- Rate Regulation, MM Docket No. 92-966, 59 Fed. Reg. 17,943 (April 15, 1994). The Second Reconsideration Order was adopted on February 22, 1994, released on March 30, 1994, and published in summary form in the Federal Register on April 15, 1994. (A copy of the Federal Register publication is attached hereto.)

Petitioners are parties aggrieved by the Commission's issuance of the Order presented for review. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 2343.

Respectfully submitted,

HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.

By: 

Gardner F. Gillespie

David G. Leitch

James J. Moore

555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

202/637-5600

Attorneys for Petitioners

Dated: May 13, 1994

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

C-TEC CABLE SYSTEMS, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION, and
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents.

94-1376

No. _____

United States Court of Appeals
For the District of Columbia Circuit

FILED MAY 13 1994

RON GARVIN
CLERK


PETITION FOR REVIEW

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 402(a), 28 U.S.C. §§ 2341-2349, and Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, C-TEC Cable Systems, Inc. ("petitioner") hereby petitions this Court for review of the Second Reconsideration Order of the Federal Communications Commission ("Commission") issued in the proceeding known as Implementation of Sections of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992 -- Rate Regulation, MM Docket No. 92-966, 59 Fed. Reg. 17,943 (April 15, 1994). The Second Reconsideration Order was adopted on February 22, 1994, released on March 30, 1994, and published in summary form in the Federal Register on April 15, 1994. (A copy of the Federal Register publication is attached hereto.)

Petitioner is a party aggrieved by the Commission's issuance of the Order presented for review. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 2343.

Respectfully submitted,

HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.

By: 

Gardner F. Gillespie

David G. Leitch

James J. Moore

555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

202/637-5600

Attorneys for Petitioner

Dated: May 13, 1994

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

HARRON COMMUNICATIONS CORP.,
THE COALITION OF SMALL SYSTEM
OPERATORS, PRIME CABLE, and
DOUGLAS COMMUNICATIONS
CORP. II,

Petitioners,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION, and
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents.

United States Court of Appeals
For the District of Columbia Circuit

FILED MAY 13 1994

RON GARVIN
CLERK

No. **94-1377**

PETITION FOR REVIEW

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 402(a), 28 U.S.C. §§ 2341-2349, and Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, Harron Communications Corp., the Coalition of Small System Operators, Prime Cable, and Douglas Communications Corp. II ("petitioners") hereby petition this Court for review of the Second Reconsideration Order of the Federal Communications Commission ("Commission") issued in the proceeding known as Implementation of Sections of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992 -- Rate Regulation, MM Docket No. 92-966, 59 Fed. Reg. 17,943 (April 15, 1994). The Second Reconsideration Order was adopted on February 22, 1994, released on March 30, 1994, and published in summary form in the Federal Register on April 15, 1994. (A copy of the Federal Register publication is attached hereto.)

Petitioners are parties aggrieved by the Commission's issuance of the Order presented for review. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 2343.

Respectfully submitted,

HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.

By: 

Gardner F. Gillespie

David G. Leitch

James J. Moore

555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

202/637-5600

Attorneys for Petitioners

Dated: May 13, 1994

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS United States Court of Appeals
For the District of Columbia Circuit
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

FILED MAY 13 1994

RON GARVIN
CLERK

94-1378

HORIZON CABLE I, L.P., and
CLINTON CABLE, L.P.,

Petitioners,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION, and
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents.

No. _____


PETITION FOR REVIEW

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 402(a), 28 U.S.C. §§ 2341-2349, and Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, Horizon Cable I, L.P. and Clinton Cable, L.P. ("petitioners") hereby petition this Court for review of the Second Reconsideration Order of the Federal Communications Commission ("Commission") issued in the proceeding known as Implementation of Sections of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992 -- Rate Regulation, MM Docket No. 92-966, 59 Fed. Reg. 17,943 (April 15, 1994). The Second Reconsideration Order was adopted on February 22, 1994, released on March 30, 1994, and published in summary form in the Federal Register on April 15, 1994. (A copy of the Federal Register publication is attached hereto.)

Petitioners are parties aggrieved by the Commission's issuance of the Order presented for review. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 2343.

Respectfully submitted,

HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.

By: 
Gardner F. Gillespie
David G. Leitch
James J. Moore
555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
202/637-5600
Attorneys for Petitioners

Dated: May 13, 1994